Some non-existence results on *m*-ovoids in finite classical polar spaces

Rijeka Conference on Combinatorial Objects and Their Applications 3-7 July 2023, Rijeka, Croatia

(joint work with Jan De Beule and Valentino Smaldore)

Jonathan Mannaert

July, 2023



Polar spaces

Let PG(n, q) denote the *n*-dimensional projective space over the finite field GF(q).

Definition

A non-degenerate sesquilinear or non-singular quadratic form on the underlying (n + 1)-dimensional vector space

Remark:

- Consists of the totally isotropic, respectively, totally singular subspaces.
- The subspaces of maximal dimension are called generators.
- If r 1 is the dimension of a generator, the the rank equals r.
- Induces a polarity \(\box) of the ambient projective space.\)

Some non-existence results on *m*-ovoids in finite classical polar

Jonathan Mannaert

Some notations

Consider a classical finite polar space $\mathcal{P}_{r,e}$ in PG(n,q), where

polar space	notation	n	е
elliptic quadric	$Q^{-}(2r+1,q)$	2 <i>r</i> + 1	2
hyperbolic quadric	$Q^+(2r - 1, q)$	2 <i>r</i> – 1	0
parabolic quadric	Q(2r,q)	2r	1
symplectic space	W(2 <i>r</i> – 1, <i>q</i>)	2 <i>r</i> – 1	1
Hermitian polar space	H(2r,q)	2r	3/2
Hermitian polar space	H(2 <i>r</i> − 1, <i>q</i>)	2 <i>r</i> – 1	1/2

Table: $\mathcal{P}_{r,e}$ polar space of rank $r \geq 1$

Some notations

Consider a classical finite polar space $\mathcal{P}_{r,e}$ in PG(n,q), where

polar space	notation	n	е
elliptic quadric	$Q^{-}(2r+1,q)$	2 <i>r</i> + 1	2
hyperbolic quadric	$Q^+(2r - 1, q)$	2 <i>r</i> – 1	0
parabolic quadric	Q(2r,q)	2r	1
symplectic space	W(2 <i>r</i> – 1, <i>q</i>)	2 <i>r</i> – 1	1
Hermitian polar space	H(2r,q)	2r	3/2
Hermitian polar space	H(2 <i>r</i> − 1, <i>q</i>)	2 <i>r</i> – 1	1/2

Table: $\mathcal{P}_{r,e}$ polar space of rank $r \geq 1$

Note that $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$ stands for one of the polar spaces W(2r - 1, q), $Q^{-}(2r + 1, q)$ or H(2r, q) (q square), i.e. $e \in \left\{1, \frac{3}{2}, 2\right\}$.

m-ovoids

Definition

A set \mathcal{O} of points of a polar space $\mathcal{P}_{r,e}$ is an *m*-ovoid of $\mathcal{P}_{r,e}$ if and only if every generator of $\mathcal{P}_{r,e}$ contains exactly *m* points of \mathcal{O} .

m-ovoids

Definition

A set \mathcal{O} of points of a polar space $\mathcal{P}_{r,e}$ is an *m*-ovoid of $\mathcal{P}_{r,e}$ if and only if every generator of $\mathcal{P}_{r,e}$ contains exactly *m* points of \mathcal{O} .

Some results:

▶
$$|\mathcal{O}| = m(q^{r+e-1}+1),$$

▶ In
$$\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$$
, for every $p \in PG(n,q)$

$$|p^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{O}| = egin{cases} (m-1)(q^{r+e-2}+1)+1, \qquad p \in \mathcal{O}, \ m(q^{r+e-2}+1), \qquad p \in \operatorname{PG}(n,q) \setminus \mathcal{O}\,. \end{cases}$$

Some non-existence results on m-ovoids in finite classical polar

Jonathan Mannaert

m-ovoids and characteristic functions

Suppose that χ is the characteristic vector of $\mathcal{O}.$ Then we can define the Boolean function

$$\mu: \mathrm{PG}(n,q) \to \{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}\},$$

such that for every subspace π it holds that $\mu(\pi) = \sum_{p \in \pi} \chi_p$.

m-ovoids and characteristic functions

Suppose that χ is the characteristic vector of $\mathcal{O}.$ Then we can define the Boolean function

$$\mu: \mathrm{PG}(n,q) \to \{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}\},\$$

such that for every subspace π it holds that $\mu(\pi) = \sum_{p \in \pi} \chi_p$.

Consequence

In $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$ it holds for every point *p* in PG(n,q) that

$$\mu(p^{\perp}) + q^{r+e-2}\mu(p) = m(q^{r+e-2}+1)$$
.

Some non-existence results on *m*-ovoids in finite classical polar

Jonathan Mannaert

Generalization of the consequence

Lemma

Consider $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$, then for every j-dimensional space π in $\mathrm{PG}(n,q)$,

$$\mu(\pi^{\perp}) + q^{r+e-j-2}\mu(\pi) = m(q^{r+e-j-2}+1).$$

Known results

Non-existence results

Theorem (Bamberg, Kelly, Law and Penttila, [1]) Consider an m-ovoid \mathcal{O} in the polar space $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$. Then $m \ge b$, with b given in the table below.

$\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$	b
$Q^{-}(2r+1,q)$	$\frac{-3+\sqrt{9+4q^{r+1}}}{2(q-1)}$
W(2 <i>r</i> – 1, <i>q</i>)	$\frac{-3+\sqrt{9+4q^r}}{2(q-1)}$
$H(2r,q^2)$	$\frac{-3+\sqrt{9+4q^{2r+1}}}{2(q^2-1)}$

Some non-existence results on *m*-ovoids in finite classical polar spaces

Jonathan Mannaert

Known results

Non-existence results

Theorem (Bamberg, Kelly, Law and Penttila, [1]) Let \mathcal{O} be a non-trivial m-ovoid of $H(4, q^2)$. If q > 2, then

$$m \geq rac{1}{2} rac{-3q-3+\sqrt{4q^5-4q^4+5q^2-2q+1}}{q^2-q-2}$$

While for q = 2, we have $m \ge 2$.

Analyzing *m*-ovoids

Main equation for points

Using a double counting argument based on

A. L. Gavrilyuk, K. Metsch, and F. Pavese.
 A modular equality for *m*-ovoids of elliptic quadrics.
 Bull. London Math. Soc., (10.1112/blms.12830), 2023.

Theorem

Suppose that μ is a m-ovoid in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$ and let p_0 be an arbitrary point in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$ such that $\mu(p_0) < m$. Then

$$\begin{split} m(q^{r+e-3}+1)(m(q^{r+e-1}+1)-\mu(p_0))+q^{r+e-2}\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}_{0}^{\perp}\setminus\{p_{0}\}}\mu(p)^{2}\\ =&m(q^{r+e-2}+1)^{2}(m-\mu(p_{0}))+q^{r+e-3}\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}_{0}^{\prime}\setminus\{p_{0}\}}\mu(p)\mu(\langle p_{0},p\rangle) \end{split}$$

Main equation for points

Theorem

Suppose that μ is a m-ovoid in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$ and let p_0 be an arbitrary point in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$ such that $\mu(p_0) < m$. Then

$$m(q^{r+e-3}+1)(m(q^{r+e-1}+1)-\mu(p_0))+q^{r+e-2}\sum_{p\in p_0^{\perp}\setminus\{p_0\}}\mu(p)^2$$

$$= m(q^{r+e-2}+1)^2(m-\mu(p_0)) + q^{r+e-3} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}'_{r,e} \setminus \{p_0\}} \mu(\rho)\mu(\langle p_0, \rho \rangle)$$

Lemma (De Beule, JM and Smaldore) Let \mathcal{O} be a non-trivial m-ovoid in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$, with weight function μ . If $p_0 \in \mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$, then

$$\sum_{p \in p_0^{\perp} \setminus \{p_0\}} \mu(p)^2 = (m - \mu(p_0))(q^{r+e-2} + 1),$$

Proof.

$$\mu(p_0^{\perp} \setminus \{p_0\}) = \mu(p_0^{\perp}) - \mu(p_0) = m(q^{r+e-2}+1) - q^{r+e-2}\mu(p_0) - \mu(p_0)$$
$$= (m - \mu(p_0))(q^{r+e-2}+1).$$

Some non-existence results on *m*-ovoids in finite classical polar

Jonathan Mannaert

spaces

July, 2023 10/29

Main equation for points

Theorem (De Beule, JM and Smaldore)

Suppose that μ is a m-ovoid in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$ and let p_0 be an arbitrary point in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$ such that $\mu(p_0) < m$. Then

$$m(q^{r+e-3}+1)(m(q^{r+e-1}+1)-\mu(p_0))+q^{r+e-2}(m-\mu(p_0))(q^{r+e-2}+1)$$

 $= m(q^{r+e-2}+1)^{2}(m-\mu(p_{0})) + q^{r+e-3} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}'_{r,0} \setminus \{p_{0}\}} \mu(p)\mu(\langle p_{0},p \rangle)$

Lemma (De Beule, JM and Smaldore) Let \mathcal{O} be a non-trivial m-ovoid in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$, with weight function μ . If $p_0 \in \mathcal{O}$ then $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}'_{r,e} \setminus \{p_0\}} \mu(p) \mu(\langle p_0, p \rangle) \ge 2(m(q^{r+e-1}+1)-1).$

Proof.

Let $p \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \{p_0\}$. Then $\mu(\langle p, p_0 \rangle) \ge \mu(p) + \mu(p_0) = 2$

Some non-existence results on m-ovoids in finite classical polar

using the previous equation, we obtain the following inequality

$$(q-1)^2m^2+3(q-1)m-q^{r+e-1}-q-2\geq 0.$$

using the previous equation, we obtain the following inequality

$$(q-1)^2m^2+3(q-1)m-q^{r+e-1}-q-2\geq 0.$$

Lets solve this!

using the previous equation, we obtain the following inequality

$$(q-1)^2m^2+3(q-1)m-q^{r+e-1}-q-2\geq 0.$$

Lets solve this!

What about $\mu(p_0) < m$?

.

using the previous equation, we obtain the following inequality

$$(q-1)^2m^2+3(q-1)m-q^{r+e-1}-q-2\geq 0.$$

Lets solve this!

What about $\mu(p_0) < m$?

Only a problem when m = 1, but this can be excluded by previous results.

First conclusion

Theorem (de Beule, JM and Smaldore)

Consider an m-ovoid \mathcal{O} in the polar space $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$. Then $m \geq b$, with b given in the table below.



Some non-existence results on m-ovoids in finite classical polar

Jonathan Mannaert

Improvement for $H(4, q^2)$

Lemma (De Beule, JM and Smaldore) Let \mathcal{O} be a non-trivial m-ovoid in $H(4, q^2)$ with weight function μ . Fix a point $p_0 \in H(4, q^2) \cap \mathcal{O}$, then

 $\sum_{p \in H(4,q^2) \setminus \{p_0\}} \mu(p) \mu(\langle p_0, p \rangle) \ge m(m-1)(q^3+1) + 2(mq^3(q^2-1)+q^3) \,.$

Improvement for $H(4, q^2)$

Lemma (De Beule, JM and Smaldore) Let \mathcal{O} be a non-trivial m-ovoid in $H(4, q^2)$ with weight function μ . Fix a point $p_0 \in H(4, q^2) \cap \mathcal{O}$, then

 $\sum_{p \in H(4,q^2) \setminus \{p_0\}} \mu(p) \mu(\langle p_0, p \rangle) \ge m(m-1)(q^3+1) + 2(mq^3(q^2-1)+q^3) \,.$

Theorem (Bamberg, Kelly, Law and Penttila, [1]) Let O be an m-ovoid of H(4, q^2), for q > 2, then

$$m \geq rac{-3q-3+\sqrt{4q^5-4q^4+5q^2-2q+1}}{2(q^2-q-2)}$$

Some non-existence results on m-ovoids in finite classical polar

Main equation

Theorem (De Beule, JM and Smaldore)

Suppose that μ is an m-ovoid in \mathcal{P}'_{re} and let π be an arbitrary j-dimensional subspace, $0 \le j \le r - 1$, with $\mu(\pi^{\perp} \setminus \pi) \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{split} m(q^{r+e-j-3}+1)(m(q^{r+e-1}+1)-\mu(\pi))+q^{r+e-2}\sum_{\rho\in\pi^{\perp}\setminus\pi}\mu(\rho)^{2} = \\ m(q^{r+e-2}+1)(m-\mu(\pi))(q^{r+e-j-2}+1)+q^{r+e-j-3}\sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{P}_{r,e}'\setminus\pi}\mu(\rho)\mu(\langle\rho,\pi\rangle) \\ &+\sum_{s\not\in\pi^{\perp}}\mu(s^{\perp}\cap\pi) \,. \end{split}$$

Jonathan Mannaert

Main equation. Choose j = r - 2

Theorem (De Beule, JM and Smaldore)

Suppose that μ is an m-ovoid in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$ and let π be an arbitrary (r - 2)-dimensional subspace, with $\mu(\pi^{\perp} \setminus \pi) \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{split} m(q^{e-1}+1)(m(q^{r+e-1}+1)-\mu(\pi))+q^{r+e-2}\sum_{p\in\pi^{\perp}\setminus\pi}\mu(p)^{2} = \\ m(q^{r+e-2}+1)(m-\mu(\pi))(q^{e}+1)+q^{e-1}\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}_{r,e}^{\prime}\setminus\pi}\mu(p)\mu(\langle p,\pi\rangle) \\ &+\sum_{s\not\in\pi^{\perp}}\mu(s^{\perp}\cap\pi) \end{split}$$

Jonathan Mannaert

Lemma
If
$$\pi$$
 is an $(r - 2)$ -space contained in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$, then

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}'_{r,e} \setminus \pi} \mu(p) \mu(\langle p, \pi \rangle) \ge m(q^e + 1)(m - \mu(\pi)) + (1 + \mu(\pi))(mq^e(q^{r-1} - 1)) + \mu(\pi)(q^e + 1)).$$

Proof.

To prove this one needs to split the sum. Consider $\tau := \langle \pi, \mathbf{p} \rangle$.

• If $p \in \pi^{\perp}$, then τ is a generator containing *m* points.

Lemma If π is an (r - 2)-space contained in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$, then $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}'_{r,e} \setminus \pi} \mu(p) \mu(\langle p, \pi \rangle) \ge m(q^e + 1)(m - \mu(\pi)) + (1 + \mu(\pi))(mq^e(q^{r-1} - 1)) + \mu(\pi)(q^e + 1)).$

Proof.

To prove this one needs to split the sum. Consider $\tau := \langle \pi, \mathbf{p} \rangle$.

- If *p* ∈ π[⊥], then τ is a generator containing *m* points. ⇒(*q*^e + 1)(*m* − μ(π)) options.
- Otherwise $\mu(\langle \pi, p \rangle) \ge \mu(\pi) + \mu(p)$.

Lemma

If
$$\pi$$
 is an $(r-2)$ -space contained in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e'}$ then

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}'_{r,e} \setminus \pi} \mu(p) \mu(\langle p, \pi \rangle) \ge m(q^e + 1)(m - \mu(\pi)) + (1 + \mu(\pi))(mq^e(q^{r-1} - 1))$$
$$+ \mu(\pi)(q^e + 1)).$$

Proof.

To prove this one needs to split the sum. Consider $\tau := \langle \pi, \mathbf{p} \rangle$.

- ▶ If $p \in \pi^{\perp}$, then τ is a generator containing *m* points. ⇒ $(q^{e} + 1)(m - \mu(\pi))$ options.
- Otherwise $\mu(\langle \pi, p \rangle) \ge \mu(\pi) + \mu(p)$.
 - \Rightarrow all other options.



Main equation. Choose j = r - 2

Theorem (De Beule, JM and Smaldore)

Suppose that μ is an m-ovoid in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$ and let π be an arbitrary (r - 2)-dimensional subspace, with $\mu(\pi^{\perp} \setminus \pi) \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{split} m(q^{e-1}+1)(m(q^{r+e-1}+1)-\mu(\pi))+q^{r+e-2}\sum_{p\in\pi^{\perp}\setminus\pi}\mu(p)^{2} = \\ m(q^{r+e-2}+1)(m-\mu(\pi))(q^{e}+1)+q^{e-1}\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}\setminus\pi}\mu(p)\mu(\langle p,\pi\rangle) \\ &+\sum_{s\not\in\pi^{\perp}}\mu(s^{\perp}\cap\pi) \,. \end{split}$$

Jonathan Mannaert

Lemma (De Beule, JM and Smaldore)
If
$$\pi$$
 is an $(r - 2)$ -space contained in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e'}$ then

$$\sum_{s \notin \pi^{\perp}} \mu(s^{\perp} \cap \pi) = \mu(\pi)q^{r+2e-1}\frac{q^{r-2}-1}{q-1}.$$

July, 2023 20/29

Main equation. Choose i = r - 2

Theorem (De Beule, JM and Smaldore) Suppose that μ is an m-ovoid in \mathcal{P}'_{re} and let π be an arbitrary (r - 2)-dimensional subspace, with $\mu(\pi^{\perp} \setminus \pi) \neq 0$, then $m(q^{e-1}+1)(m(q^{r+e-1}+1)-\mu(\pi))+q^{r+e-2}\sum_{p\in\pi^{\perp}\setminus\pi}\mu(p)^{2}=$

$$m(q^{r+e-2}+1)(m-\mu(\pi))(q^e+1)+q^{e-1}\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}\setminus\pi\mu(p)\mu(\langle p,\pi\rangle)}$$

$$+\sum_{\mathbf{s}
ot\in\pi^{\perp}}\mu(\mathbf{s}^{\perp}\cap\pi)$$
 .

Main equation. Choose j = r - 2

Theorem (De Beule, JM and Smaldore) Suppose that μ is an m-ovoid in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$ and let π be an arbitrary (r - 2)-dimensional subspace, with $\mu(\pi^{\perp} \setminus \pi) \neq 0$, then $m(q^{e-1}+1)(m(q^{r+e-1}+1)-\mu(\pi))+q^{r+e-2}\sum_{p \in \pi^{\perp} \setminus \pi} \mu(p)^2 =$ $m(q^{r+e-2}+1)(m-\mu(\pi))(q^e+1)+q^{e-1}\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}'_{r,e} \setminus \pi\mu(p)\mu(\langle p, \pi \rangle)}$

$$+\sum_{\mathbf{s}
ot\in\pi^{\perp}}\mu(\mathbf{s}^{\perp}\cap\pi)$$
 .

Similar as before, we obtain that $\sum_{p \in \pi^{\perp} \setminus \pi} \mu(p)^2 = (m - \mu(\pi))(q^{r+e-j-2} + 1).$

Some non-existence results on *m*-ovoids in finite classical polar

Jonathan Mannaert

Combining all results

Theorem (De Beule, JM and Smaldore)

Assume that \mathcal{O} is an m-ovoid in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$ and that π is an arbitrary (r-2)-space contained in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e}$ such that $\mu(\pi^{\perp} \setminus {\pi}) \neq 0$, then

$$m^{2}(q^{r+e-1}-q^{r+e-2}-q^{2e-1}-q^{e}) + m(\mu(\pi)(q^{r+e-2}+2q^{2e-1}+q^{e})+q^{r+e-2}+q^{2e-1}) - \mu(\pi)\left(q^{r+2e-2}+q^{r+e-2}+(1+\mu(\pi))(q^{2e-1}+q^{e-1})+q^{r+2e-1}\frac{q^{r-2}-1}{q-1}\right) \ge 0$$

Some non-existence results on *m*-ovoids in finite classical polar

Jonathan Mannaert

Special case

Fill in the maximal $\mu(\pi)$ for good results.



Jonathan Mannaert

Special case

Fill in the maximal $\mu(\pi)$ for good results.

Lemma

Suppose that \mathcal{O} is an m-ovoid in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e'}$ then there exist an (r-2)-space with at least min $\{m, r-1\}$ points of \mathcal{O} .

Special case

Fill in the maximal $\mu(\pi)$ for good results.

Lemma

Suppose that \mathcal{O} is an m-ovoid in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e'}$ then there exist an (r-2)-space with at least min $\{m, r-1\}$ points of \mathcal{O} .

 \Rightarrow Use $\mu(\pi) = \min\{m, r-1\}$

Special case

Fill in the maximal $\mu(\pi)$ for good results.

Lemma

Suppose that \mathcal{O} is an m-ovoid in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e'}$ then there exist an (r-2)-space with at least min $\{m, r-1\}$ points of \mathcal{O} .

$$\Rightarrow Use \ \mu(\pi) = \min\{m, r - 1\}$$

$$\blacktriangleright If \ \mu(\pi) = m, thus \ m \le r - 1.$$

$$\vdash If (a) \ r \ge 4, or, (b) \ e \in \{1, \frac{3}{2}\} \text{ and } (r, q, e) \ne (3, 3, 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow Contradiction with older results$$

Special case

Fill in the maximal $\mu(\pi)$ for good results.

Lemma

Suppose that \mathcal{O} is an m-ovoid in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,e'}$ then there exist an (r-2)-space with at least min $\{m, r-1\}$ points of \mathcal{O} .

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Use $\mu(\pi) = \min\{m, r-1\}$

• If
$$\mu(\pi) = m$$
, thus $m \leq r - 1$.

▶ If (a) $r \ge 4$, or, (b) $e \in \{1, \frac{3}{2}\}$ and $(r, q, e) \ne (3, 3, 1)$ ⇒ Contradiction with older results

So in these cases we can assume that $\mu(\pi) = r - 1$.

conclusion

Theorem (De Beule, JM and Smaldore)

Let q > 2 and $r \ge 3$. Suppose that \mathcal{O} is an m-ovoid in $\mathcal{P}'_{r,er}$ with (a) $r \ge 4$, or, (b) $e \in \{1, \frac{3}{2}\}$ and $(r, q, e) \ne (3, 3, 1)$. Then it holds that

$$m \ge \frac{-r(1+\frac{2}{q^r-e-1})+\sqrt{r^2(1+\frac{2}{q^r-1})^2+4(q-2)(r-1)(q^{e+1}\frac{q^r-2}{q-1}+q^e+1)}}{2(q-1)}$$

This bound asymptotically converges to

$$m \geq \frac{-r + \sqrt{r^2 + 4(r-1)(q-2)q^{r+e-2}}}{2(q-1)}.$$

Some non-existence results on *m*-ovoids in finite classical polar

Jonathan Mannaert

Some examples of the improvement

r	New bound	Old bound
4	$m \ge 5$	<i>m</i> ≥ 4
5	<i>m</i> ≥ 10	$m \ge 8$
6	<i>m</i> ≥ 20	<i>m</i> ≥ 13
7	<i>m</i> ≥ 39	<i>m</i> ≥ 23
100	$m \ge 2,53 \cdot 10^{24}$	$m \ge 3,59 \cdot 10^{23}$

Table: Bounds for *m*-ovoids of W(2r - 1, 3)

Some non-existence results on *m*-ovoids in finite classical polar

Jonathan Mannaert

Some examples of the improvement

r	New bound	Old bound
4	$m \ge 8$	$m \ge 8$
5	<i>m</i> ≥ 18	<i>m</i> ≥ 13
6	$m \ge 36$	<i>m</i> ≥ 23
7	<i>m</i> ≥ 69	<i>m</i> ≥ 40
100	$m \ge 4,37 \cdot 10^{24}$	$m \ge 6,22 \cdot 10^{23}$

Table: Bounds for *m*-ovoids of $Q^{-}(2r + 1, 3)$

Some non-existence results on *m*-ovoids in finite classical polar

Jonathan Mannaert

Some examples of the improvement

r	New bound	Old bound
3	<i>m</i> ≥ 8	$m \ge 6$
4	<i>m</i> ≥ 29	<i>m</i> ≥ 18
5	<i>m</i> ≥ 99	$m \ge 53$
6	<i>m</i> ≥ 330	<i>m</i> ≥ 158
7	<i>m</i> ≥ 1085	<i>m</i> ≥ 474
100	$m \ge 1,04 \cdot 10^{48}$	$m \ge 1, 12 \cdot 10^{47}$

Table: Bounds for *m*-ovoids of $H(2r, 3^2)$

Some non-existence results on *m*-ovoids in finite classical polar

Jonathan Mannaert

References

John Bamberg, Shane Kelly, Maska Law, and Tim Penttila. Tight sets and *m*-ovoids of finite polar spaces. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 114(7):1293–1314, 2007.

- Jan De Beule, Jonathan Mannaert and Valentino Smaldore. Some non-existence results on *m*-ovoids in classical polar spaces. submitted, 10.48550/arXiv.2305.06285
- A. L. Gavrilyuk, K. Metsch, and F. Pavese.
 A modular equality for *m*-ovoids of elliptic quadrics.
 Bull. London Math. Soc., (10.1112/blms.12830), 2023.

Thank you for your attention!

Are there any questions?

Jonathan.Mannaert@vub.be

Jonathan Mannaert

Some non-existence results on *m*-ovoids in finite classical polar spaces

July, 2023 29/29