Distance-regular graphs with classical parameters that support a uniform structure: case  $q \ge 2$ 

Giusy Monzillo

(Joint work with B. Fernández, R. Maleki, and Š. Miklavič)

#### RICCOTA2023

July 3 - 7, 2023



イロン 不良 とくほど 不良とう ほ

1/27

# Notations and preliminaries

< □ > < ⑦ > < ≧ > < ≧ > < ≧ > ≥ の < ⊘ 2/27

# Notations and preliminaries

 $\Gamma=(X,\mathcal{R})$  : (simple, connected, undirected) graph with vertex set X and edge set  $\mathcal{R}$ 

 $D = \max\{\partial(x, y) \mid x, y \in X\}$ , with  $\partial$  distance on  $\Gamma$ : *diameter* of  $\Gamma$ 

V : vector space over  $\mathbb C$  of column vectors with coordinates indexed by X and entries in  $\mathbb C$ 

 $\varepsilon := \varepsilon(x) = \max\{\partial(x, y) \mid y \in X\} : eccentricity of x (fixed)$ 

 $E_i^*$ : *i*-th dual idempotent of  $\Gamma$  w.r.t.  $x (0 \le i \le \varepsilon)$ 

- T := T(x): Terwilliger algebra of  $\Gamma$  w.r.t. x
- L, F, and R : lowering, flat, and raising matrices w.r.t. x
- $\Rightarrow T = \langle L, F, R, \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^{\varepsilon} \rangle$

 $W \leq V$ : (irreducible)T-module (with endpoint r, dual endpoint t, and diameter d)

W is *thin* if dim $(E_i^*W) \leq 1$   $(0 \leq i \leq \varepsilon)$ .

 $\Gamma$  is *r*-thin if every irreducible T-module with endpoint *r* is thin.

 $W \leq V$ : (*irreducible*)*T*-module (with *endpoint r*, *dual endpoint t*, and *diameter d*)

W is *thin* if dim $(E_i^*W) \leq 1$   $(0 \leq i \leq \varepsilon)$ .

 $\Gamma$  is *r*-thin if every irreducible T-module with endpoint *r* is thin.

Assume  $\Gamma$  non-bipartite.

 $\Gamma_f$  : (bipartite and connected) subgraph of  $\Gamma$  with F = 0

 $T_f := T_f(x)$ : Terwilliger algebra of  $\Gamma_f$  w.r.t. x

 $\Rightarrow T_f = \langle L, R, \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^{\varepsilon} \rangle$ 

 $W \leq V$ : (*irreducible*)*T*-module (with *endpoint r*, *dual endpoint t*, and *diameter d*)

W is *thin* if dim $(E_i^*W) \leq 1$   $(0 \leq i \leq \varepsilon)$ .

 $\Gamma$  is *r*-thin if every irreducible T-module with endpoint *r* is thin.

Assume  $\Gamma$  non-bipartite.

 $\Gamma_f$  : (bipartite and connected) subgraph of  $\Gamma$  with F=0

 $T_f := T_f(x)$ : Terwilliger algebra of  $\Gamma_f$  w.r.t. x

 $\Rightarrow T_f = \langle L, R, \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^{\varepsilon} \rangle$ 

#### Lemma

Let W denote a T-module. Then,

- W is a  $T_f$ -module.
- If W is a <u>thin irreducible T-module</u>, then W is a <u>thin</u> irreducible  $T_f$ -module.

3/27

# Definition(s)

Consider a so-called *parameter matrix*  $U = (e_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le \varepsilon}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , i.e,

- $e_{ii} = 1 \ (1 \le i \le \varepsilon),$
- $e_i^- := e_{i,i-1} \neq 0 \ (2 \le i \le \varepsilon) \text{ or } e_i^+ := e_{i-1,i} \neq 0 \ (2 \le i \le \varepsilon),$
- $\det(e_{ij})_{s \le i, j \le t} \neq 0 \ (1 \le s \le t \le \varepsilon).$

# Definition(s)

Consider a so-called *parameter matrix*  $U = (e_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq \varepsilon}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , i.e,

-  $e_{ii} = 1 \ (1 \leq i \leq \varepsilon),$ 

- 
$$e_i^- := e_{i,i-1} \neq 0$$
  $(2 \le i \le \varepsilon)$  or  $e_i^+ := e_{i-1,i} \neq 0$   $(2 \le i \le \varepsilon)$ ,  
-  $\det(e_{ii})_{s \le i, i \le t} \neq 0$   $(1 \le s \le t \le \varepsilon)$ .

 $\Gamma$  supports a uniform structure w.r.t. x if  $\Gamma_f$  admits a uniform structure (U, f) (w.r.t. x), with  $f = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\varepsilon}, f_i \in \mathbb{C}$ , i.e.,

$$e_i^- RL^2 + LRL + e_i^+ L^2 R = f_i L \tag{1}$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (000)

4 / 27

is satisfied on  $E_i^* V$   $(1 \le i \le \varepsilon)$ .

# Definition(s)

Consider a so-called *parameter matrix*  $U = (e_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq \varepsilon}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , i.e,

-  $e_{ii} = 1 \ (1 \leq i \leq \varepsilon),$ 

- 
$$e_i^- := e_{i,i-1} \neq 0$$
 ( $2 \le i \le \varepsilon$ ) or  $e_i^+ := e_{i-1,i} \neq 0$  ( $2 \le i \le \varepsilon$ ),  
-  $\det(e_{ii})_{s \le i, i \le t} \neq 0$  ( $1 \le s \le t \le \varepsilon$ ).

 $\Gamma$  supports a uniform structure w.r.t. x if  $\Gamma_f$  admits a uniform structure (U, f) (w.r.t. x), with  $f = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $f_i \in \mathbb{C}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbf{e}_i^- \mathbf{R} \mathbf{L}^2 + \mathbf{L} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{e}_i^+ \mathbf{L}^2 \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{f}_i \mathbf{L} \tag{1}$$

is satisfied on  $E_i^* V$   $(1 \le i \le \varepsilon)$ .

#### Proposition

(1) holds on  $E_i^* V$  if and only if (1) holds on  $E_i^* W$  for every irreducible T-module W.

Two *T*-modules *W* and *W'* are *T*-isomorphic if there is a vector space isomorphism  $\sigma : W \to W'$  such that  $(\sigma B - B\sigma) W = 0$  for all  $B \in T$ .

Two *T*-modules *W* and *W'* are *T*-isomorphic if there is a vector space isomorphism  $\sigma: W \to W'$  such that  $(\sigma B - B\sigma) W = 0$  for all  $B \in T$ .

## Theorem (P. Terwilliger, 1990)

Let G denote a **bipartite** graph and fix  $x \in V(G)$ . Let T = T(x) denote the Terwilliger algebra of G, and assume G admits a **uniform structure** with respect to x. Then,

- Every irreducible *T*-module is <u>thin</u>.
- Let W denote an irreducible T-module with endpoint r and diameter d. Then, the isomorphism class of W is determined by r and d.

## Further definitions

Γ is *distance-regular* if, for all integers  $0 \le h, i, j \le D$  and all  $z, y \in X$  with  $\partial(z, y) = h$ , the number

$$p_{ij}^h := |\Gamma_i(z) \cap \Gamma_j(y)|$$

is independent of the choice of z, y. The constants  $p_{ij}^h$  are the *intersection numbers* of  $\Gamma$ .

### Further definitions

 $\Gamma$  is *distance-regular* if, for all integers  $0 \le h, i, j \le D$  and all  $z, y \in X$  with  $\partial(z, y) = h$ , the number

 $p_{ij}^h := |\Gamma_i(z) \cap \Gamma_j(y)|$ 

is independent of the choice of z, y. The constants  $p_{ij}^h$  are the *intersection numbers* of  $\Gamma$ .

- It is enough to consider  $c_i := p_{1\,i-1}^i \ (1 \le i \le D)$ ,  $a_i := p_{1i}^i \ (0 \le i \le D)$ ,  $b_i := p_{1i+1}^i \ (0 \le i \le D-1)$ , where  $c_0 := 0, b_D := 0$ .

- 
$$k_i := p_{ii}^0 = \frac{b_0 b_1 \cdots b_{i-1}}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i} \ (0 \le i \le D)$$
: valencies of  $\Gamma$ 

-  $\Gamma$  is regular with valency  $k := b_0 = k_1$  and  $c_i + a_i + b_i = k$ ( $0 \le i \le D$ ).

- 
$$arepsilon(z)=D$$
 for every  $z\in X$ , and  $arepsilon=D$ 

-  $\Gamma$  is bipartite if and only if  $a_i = 0$  for  $0 \le i \le D$ .

A strongly regular graph, i.e.,  $srg(v, k, \lambda, \mu)$ , is a regular graph with v vertices and valency k, such that every two (distinct) vertices have  $\lambda$  or  $\mu$  common neighbors depending on whether the vertices are respectively nonadjacent or not.

A strongly regular graph, i.e.,  $srg(v, k, \lambda, \mu)$ , is a regular graph with v vertices and valency k, such that every two (distinct) vertices have  $\lambda$  or  $\mu$  common neighbors depending on whether the vertices are respectively nonadjacent or not.

 $\Rightarrow$  A *connected* strongly regular graph is a distance-regular of diameter 2.

## Theorem

A regular graph has 3 distinct eigenvalues if and only if it is (connected) strongly regular.

A strongly regular graph, i.e.,  $srg(v, k, \lambda, \mu)$ , is a regular graph with v vertices and valency k, such that every two (distinct) vertices have  $\lambda$  or  $\mu$  common neighbors depending on whether the vertices are respectively nonadjacent or not.

 $\Rightarrow$  A *connected* strongly regular graph is a distance-regular of diameter 2.

## Theorem

A regular graph has 3 distinct eigenvalues if and only if it is (connected) strongly regular.

 $\Rightarrow$  A *disconnected* strongly regular graph is a disjoint union of cliques of the same size.

# Proposition

Let G denote a strongly regular graph. If G is a disjoint union of cliques, then -1 is an eigenvalue for G, and vice-versa.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with  $D \ge 3$ .  $\Gamma$  has *classical parameters*  $(D, q, \alpha, \beta)$  with  $q \ne 1$  if

$$c_{i} = \frac{q^{i} - 1}{q - 1} \left( 1 + \alpha \frac{q^{i-1} - 1}{q - 1} \right) \qquad (1 \le i \le D),$$
  
$$b_{i} = \frac{q^{D} - q^{i}}{q - 1} \left( \beta - \alpha \frac{q^{i} - 1}{q - 1} \right) \qquad (0 \le i \le D - 1),$$

where  $q, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with  $D \ge 3$ .  $\Gamma$  has *classical parameters*  $(D, q, \alpha, \beta)$  with  $q \ne 1$  if

$$c_{i} = \frac{q^{i} - 1}{q - 1} \left( 1 + \alpha \frac{q^{i-1} - 1}{q - 1} \right) \qquad (1 \le i \le D),$$
  
$$b_{i} = \frac{q^{D} - q^{i}}{q - 1} \left( \beta - \alpha \frac{q^{i} - 1}{q - 1} \right) \qquad (0 \le i \le D - 1),$$

where  $q, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

⇒  $q \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}$ . ⇒ Such a graph  $\Gamma$  is <u>*Q*-polynomial</u> (A. Brouwer et al., 1989). Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with  $D \ge 3$ .  $\Gamma$  has *classical parameters*  $(D, q, \alpha, \beta)$  with  $q \ne 1$  if

$$c_{i} = \frac{q^{i} - 1}{q - 1} \left( 1 + \alpha \frac{q^{i-1} - 1}{q - 1} \right) \qquad (1 \le i \le D),$$
  
$$b_{i} = \frac{q^{D} - q^{i}}{q - 1} \left( \beta - \alpha \frac{q^{i} - 1}{q - 1} \right) \qquad (0 \le i \le D - 1),$$

where  $q, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

$$\Rightarrow q \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\} \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Such a graph  $\Gamma$  is *Q-polynomial* (A. Brouwer et al., 1989).

Assume that  $\Gamma$  is (non-bipartite) distance-regular, having classical parameters  $(D, q, \alpha, \beta)$  with  $D \ge 4$  and  $q \ge 2$ , and that  $\Gamma$  is 1-thin.

# Local graph and thin irreducible *T*-modules

 $k = b_0 = \theta_0 > \theta_1 > \cdots > \theta_D$ : (distinct) eigenvalues of  $\Gamma$  $\Delta := \Delta(x)$ : subgraph of  $\Gamma$  induced on the set of vertices in X adjacent to x, known as the *local graph of*  $\Gamma$  w.r.t. x.

# Local graph and thin irreducible T-modules

 $k = b_0 = \theta_0 > \theta_1 > \cdots > \theta_D$ : (distinct) *eigenvalues of*  $\Gamma$  $\Delta := \Delta(x)$ : subgraph of  $\Gamma$  induced on the set of vertices in X adjacent to x, known as the *local graph of*  $\Gamma$  w.r.t. x.

- $\Delta$  has  $\underline{k} = b_0$  vertices and is regular with valency  $a_1$ .
- a<sub>1</sub> = η<sub>1</sub> ≥ η<sub>2</sub> ≥ ··· ≥ η<sub>k</sub>(≥ −a<sub>1</sub>) : eigenvalues of Δ, i.e, *local* eigenvalues of Γ w. r. t. x
- $\tilde{\theta_1} \leq \eta_i \leq \tilde{\theta_D} \ (2 \leq i \leq k)$ , with  $\tilde{\theta_1} = -1 b_1(1 + \theta_1)^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{\theta_D} = -1 b_1(1 + \theta_D)^{-1}$

# Local graph and thin irreducible T-modules

 $k = b_0 = \theta_0 > \theta_1 > \cdots > \theta_D$ : (distinct) eigenvalues of  $\Gamma$  $\Delta := \Delta(x)$ : subgraph of  $\Gamma$  induced on the set of vertices in X adjacent to x, known as the *local graph of*  $\Gamma$  w.r.t. x.

- $\Delta$  has  $\underline{k} = b_0$  vertices and is regular with valency  $a_1$ .
- a<sub>1</sub> = η<sub>1</sub> ≥ η<sub>2</sub> ≥ · · · ≥ η<sub>k</sub>(≥ −a<sub>1</sub>) : eigenvalues of Δ, i.e, *local* eigenvalues of Γ w. r. t. x

- 
$$\tilde{\theta_1} \leq \eta_i \leq \tilde{\theta_D} \ (2 \leq i \leq k)$$
, with  $\tilde{\theta_1} = -1 - b_1(1 + \theta_1)^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{\theta_D} = -1 - b_1(1 + \theta_D)^{-1}$ 

### Definition

If W is any thin irreducible T-module with endpoint 1, then  $E_1^*W$  is a one-dimensional eigenspace for  $E_1^*AE_1^*$ , whose eigenvalue  $\eta$  is called the *local eigenvalue of* W.

$$\Rightarrow \eta \in \{\eta_2, \eta_3, \dots, \eta_k\}$$
, so  $\tilde{\theta_1} \leq \eta \leq \tilde{\theta_D}$ .

9/27

(日)(周)(日)(日)(日)(日)

# Theorem (P. Terwilliger, 2002)

Let W denote a thin irreducible T-module with endpoint 1 and local eigenvalue  $\eta$ , and W' denote an irreducible T-module. Then, the followings are **equivalent**.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

- W and W' are isomorphic as T-modules.
- W' is thin with endpoint 1 and local eigenvalue  $\eta$ .

# Theorem (P. Terwilliger, 2002)

Let W denote a thin irreducible T-module with endpoint 1 and local eigenvalue  $\eta$ , and W' denote an irreducible T-module. Then, the followings are equivalent.

- W and W' are isomorphic as T-modules.
- W' is thin with endpoint 1 and local eigenvalue  $\eta$ .

## Proposition (J. Go and P. Terwilliger, 2002)

Let W denote a thin irreducible T-module with endpoint 1, diameter d, and local eigenvalue  $\eta$ . Then, the followings hold.

- If 
$$\eta \in {\{\tilde{\theta_1}, \tilde{\theta_D}\}}$$
, then  $d = D - 2$ .  
- If  $\tilde{\theta_1} < \eta < \tilde{\theta_D}$ , then  $d = D - 1$ .

## Theorem (P. Terwilliger, 2004)

Let  $\Phi$  denote the set of distinct scalars among  $\eta_2, \eta_3, \ldots, \eta_k$ . For  $\eta \in \Phi$ , let  $m_\eta$  denote the number of times  $\eta$  appears among  $\eta_2, \eta_3, \ldots, \eta_k$ .

Then, there exist polynomials  $p_0 = 1, p_1, \ldots, p_D$  (given by a known recursive formula) with real coefficients such that

$$1 + \sum_{\substack{\eta \in \Phi \\ \eta \neq -1}} \frac{p_{i-1}(\tilde{\eta})}{p_i(\tilde{\eta})(1+\tilde{\eta})} m_{\eta} \leq \frac{k}{b_i} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq D-1), \qquad (2)$$

where  $\tilde{\eta} = -1 - b_1 (1 + \eta)^{-1}$ .

Additionally, the equality in (2) for  $1 \le i \le D - 1$  holds if and only if every irreducible T-module with endpoint 1 is thin.

# Our analysis: $\Gamma$ supporting a uniform structure

 $\Rightarrow$  The isomorphism class of a thin irreducible *T*-module *W* with endpoint 1 is <u>determined</u> by its local eigenvalue  $\eta$ .

For our  $\Gamma$  (1-thin, non-bipartite distance-regular with classical parameters  $(D, q, \alpha, \beta)$ ,  $D \ge 4$ ,  $q \ge 2$ ), it is known that  $\eta$  is in the set

$$\left\{\eta_1:=-q-1,\ \eta_2:=eta-lpha-1,\ \eta_3:=-1,\ \eta_4:=lpharac{q^{D-1}-1}{q-1}-1
ight\}$$

# Our analysis: $\Gamma$ supporting a uniform structure

 $\Rightarrow$  The isomorphism class of a thin irreducible *T*-module *W* with endpoint 1 is <u>determined</u> by its local eigenvalue  $\eta$ .

For our  $\Gamma$  (1-thin, non-bipartite distance-regular with classical parameters  $(D, q, \alpha, \beta)$ ,  $D \ge 4$ ,  $q \ge 2$ ), it is known that  $\eta$  is in the set

$$\left\{\eta_1:=-q-1,\,\eta_2:=eta-lpha-1,\,\eta_3:=-1,\,\eta_4:=lpharac{q^{D-1}-1}{q-1}-1
ight\}$$

- $\eta \in \{\eta_1, \eta_2\} \Rightarrow \underline{d = D 2}$ , otherwise,  $\underline{d = D 1}$ .
- $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_2$ , and  $\eta_3$  are distinct, and  $\eta_4 \neq \eta_1$ .
- $-\eta_4 = \eta_2 \iff \beta = \alpha \frac{q^{D} 1}{q 1}$  $-\eta_4 = \eta_3 \iff \alpha = 0$

#### **Case** $\alpha \neq 0$

# Proposition 1 (B. Fernández, R. Maleki, Š. Miklavič, G.M.)

Let W and W' denote two non-isomorphic, thin irreducible T-modules with endpoint 1. Then, W and W' remain non-isomorphic when considered as  $T_f$ -modules.

# Proposition 2 (B. Fernández, R. Maleki, Š. Miklavič, G.M.)

If  $\Gamma$  supports a <u>uniform structure</u>, then there are, up to isomorphism, exactly two thin irreducible T-modules with endpoint 1, one with diameter D-2 and the other with diameter D-1.

#### **Case** $\alpha \neq 0$

## Proposition 1 (B. Fernández, R. Maleki, Š. Miklavič, G.M.)

Let W and W' denote two non-isomorphic, thin irreducible T-modules with endpoint 1. Then, W and W' remain non-isomorphic when considered as  $T_f$ -modules.

## Proposition 2 (B. Fernández, R. Maleki, Š. Miklavič, G.M.)

If  $\Gamma$  supports a <u>uniform structure</u>, then there are, up to isomorphism, exactly two thin irreducible T-modules with endpoint 1, one with diameter D-2 and the other with diameter D-1.

 $\Rightarrow \Delta$  is not complete (otherwise  $b_1 = 0$ ), and has at most three distinct eigenvalues, i.e.,  $\Delta$  is strongly regular.

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} \eta_1 := -q-1, \ \eta_2 := \beta - \alpha - 1, \ \eta_3 := -1, \ \eta_4 := \alpha \frac{q^{D-1}-1}{q-1} - 1 \end{matrix} \right\}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Pairs to be considered are those corresponding to different diameters.

 $\Rightarrow$  The case  $\{\eta_1,\eta_3\}$  never occurs since both eigenvalues would be negative.

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} \eta_1 := -q-1, \ \eta_2 := \beta - \alpha - 1, \ \eta_3 := -1, \ \eta_4 := \alpha \frac{q^{D-1}-1}{q-1} - 1 \end{matrix} \right\}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Pairs to be considered are those corresponding to different diameters.

 $\Rightarrow$  The case  $\{\eta_1,\eta_3\}$  never occurs since both eigenvalues would be negative.

Lemma 1 (B. Fernández, R. Maleki, Š. Miklavič, G.M.) Let  $\Delta$  be the local graph of  $\Gamma$  with eigenvalues  $a_1, r, s$  with  $a_1 \ge r \ge 0$  and s < 0. Then,  $\{r, s\} \ne \{\eta_2, \eta_3\}$  and  $\{r, s\} \ne \{\eta_2, \eta_4\}$ .

### Sketch of the proof

- $\{r, s\} = \{\eta_2, \eta_3\} \iff \Delta$  is a disjoint union of cliques  $(\eta_3 = -1)$  with  $a_1 = \eta_2 = \beta \alpha 1 \iff \alpha = 0$ : contradiction.
- $\{r,s\} = \{\eta_2,\eta_4\}$ : the equality

$$1 + \sum_{\substack{\eta \in \Phi \\ \eta \neq -1}} \frac{p_{i-1}(\tilde{\eta})}{p_i(\tilde{\eta})(1+\tilde{\eta})} m_{\eta} = \frac{k}{b_i} \qquad (1 \le i \le D-1),$$

holding for every 1-thin graph, is not satisfied in particular for i = 1, D - 1; otherwise  $\beta = 0$  when  $r = \eta_2$  ( $s = \eta_4$ ), and  $s = \eta_2 = 0$  when  $r = \eta_4$ .

Only the case  $\{r, s\} = \{\eta_1, \eta_4\}$  remains to be considered.

 $\Rightarrow$  The previous equality is verified for every  $1 \leq i \leq D-1$ , and

$$eta=lpharac{q^{D-1}-1}{q-1}-q,\qquad \mu=lpha(q+1).$$

Only the case  $\{r, s\} = \{\eta_1, \eta_4\}$  remains to be considered.

 $\Rightarrow$  The previous equality is verified for every  $1 \leq i \leq D-1$ , and

$$eta = lpha rac{q^{D-1}-1}{q-1} - q, \qquad \mu = lpha (q+1).$$

## Theorem (Neumaier, 1979)

Let G be a strongly regular graph with parameters  $(n, k, \lambda, \mu)$  and eigenvalues k > r > s. Then, at least one of the following conditions must hold:

(a) 
$$r \le \max\{2(-s-1)(\mu+1+s), s(s+1)(\mu+1)/2 - s - 1\}.$$

(b)  $\mu = s^2$ : G is a Steiner graph derived from a Steiner 2-system in which each line contains s points.

(c)  $\mu = s(s+1)$ : G is a Latin square graph derived from an s-net.

# Lemma 2 (B. Fernández, R. Maleki, Š. Miklavič, G.M.) Let $\Delta$ be the local graph of $\Gamma$ with eigenvalues $a_1, r, s$ with $a_1 > r = \alpha \frac{q^{D-1}-1}{q-1} - 1$ and s = -q - 1. Then, case (a) never happens.

Lemma 2 (B. Fernández, R. Maleki, Š. Miklavič, G.M.) Let  $\Delta$  be the local graph of  $\Gamma$  with eigenvalues  $a_1, r, s$  with  $a_1 > r = \alpha \frac{q^{D-1}-1}{q-1} - 1$  and s = -q - 1. Then, case (a) never happens.

### Sketch of the proof

- Claim 1:  $\Delta$  is not a conference graph.
- Claim 2:  $r \ge 1$ .
- The integrality of  $\lambda$  yields that (a) cannot be.

#### Two feasible families

Cases  $\mu = s^2$  and  $\mu = s(s + 1)$  are both <u>feasible</u>, and the classical parameters of the respective distance-regular graphs are

$$(D, q, q+1, \frac{q^{D+1}(q+1)-q^2-1}{q-1}), \qquad (D, q, q, \frac{q^2(q^D-1)}{q-1}).$$

Lemma 3 (B. Fernández, R. Maleki, Š. Miklavič, G.M.)

The family of distance-regular graphs with classical parameters

$$\Bigl(D,q,q+1,rac{q^{D+1}(q+1)-q^2-1}{q-1}\Bigr)$$

does not exist.

Lemma 3 (B. Fernández, R. Maleki, Š. Miklavič, G.M.)

The family of distance-regular graphs with classical parameters

$$\Big(D,q,q+1,rac{q^{D+1}(q+1)-q^2-1}{q-1}\Big)$$

does not exist.

## Sketch of the proof

-  $D \ge 6$ : the intersection number

$$p_{33}^6 = \frac{c_4 c_5 c_6}{c_1 c_2 c_3}$$

(independent of both D and  $\beta$ ) is an integer only for q = 2, 4.

< □ ▶ < 圕 ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ 19/27 Lemma 3 (B. Fernández, R. Maleki, Š. Miklavič, G.M.)

The family of distance-regular graphs with classical parameters

$$\Big(D,q,q+1,rac{q^{D+1}(q+1)-q^2-1}{q-1}\Big)$$

does not exist.

## Sketch of the proof

-  $D \ge 6$ : the intersection number

$$p_{33}^6 = \frac{c_4 c_5 c_6}{c_1 c_2 c_3}$$

(independent of both D and  $\beta$ ) is an integer only for q = 2, 4.

- D = 4, D = 5, q = 2, q = 4: the multiplicity  $f_2$  of the 2nd eigenvalue of  $\Gamma$  turns out not to be an integer.

Lemma 4 (B. Fernández, R. Maleki, Š. Miklavič, G.M.) If  $D \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ , then the family of distance-regular graphs with classical parameters

$$\left(D,q,q,rac{q^2(q^D-1)}{q-1}
ight)$$

does not exist.

 Lemma 4 (B. Fernández, R. Maleki, Š. Miklavič, G.M.) If  $D \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ , then the family of distance-regular graphs with classical parameters

$$\left(D,q,q,rac{q^2(q^D-1)}{q-1}
ight)$$

does not exist.

### Sketch of the proof

- Claim: the multiplicity  $f_2$  of the 2nd eigenvalue of  $\Gamma$  is an integer only for D even.

Lemma 4 (B. Fernández, R. Maleki, Š. Miklavič, G.M.) If  $D \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ , then the family of distance-regular graphs with classical parameters

$$\left(D,q,q,rac{q^2(q^D-1)}{q-1}
ight)$$

does not exist.

### Sketch of the proof

- Claim: the multiplicity  $f_2$  of the 2nd eigenvalue of  $\Gamma$  is an integer only for D even.
- Claim: the multiplicity  $f_3$  of the 3rd eigenvalue of  $\Gamma$  is an integer only for  $D \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ .

# Main Theorem (B. Fernández, R. Maleki, Š. Miklavič, G.M.)

Let  $\Gamma$  be a 1-thin, non-bipartite distance-regular graph with classical parameters  $D \ge 4$ ,  $q \ge 2$ ,  $\alpha \ne 0$ . If  $\Gamma$  supports a uniform structure w.r.t. x, then it must have

classical parameters

$$\left(D,q,q,rac{q^2(q^D-1)}{q-1}
ight), \qquad D\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$$

#### Proof

It follows from previous Propositions 1,2 and Lemmas 1-4

### Remark

The valency  $k_D$  and the multiplicity  $f_D$  of  $\Gamma$  (with  $\alpha = q$  and  $\beta = q^2(q^D - 1)/(q - 1)$ )) respectively are

$$k_D = q^{rac{D(D+1)}{2}+1} \prod_{i=1}^{D-1} \left( q rac{q^D - 1}{q^i - 1} - 1 
ight),$$

$$f_D = (q^D(q+1)-q) \prod_{i=2}^D \left(q^{i+1} rac{q^D-1}{q^i-1} + 1
ight).$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Computational results (Mathematica), show that they are never integers for every  $q,D \leq 2000$ 

# Conjecture

The family of distance-regular graphs with classical parameters

$$\left(D,q,q,\frac{q^2(q^D-1)}{q-1}\right)$$

does not exist.

## Conjecture

The family of distance-regular graphs with classical parameters

$$\left(D,q,q,rac{q^2(q^D-1)}{q-1}
ight)$$

does not exist.

### Corollary to Conjecture

Let  $\Gamma$  be a 1-thin, non-bipartite distance-regular graph with classical parameters  $D \ge 4$ ,  $q \ge 2$ ,  $\alpha \ne 0$ . Then,  $\Gamma$  does not supports a uniform structure w.r.t. x.

### Case $\alpha = 0$

Examples are *dual polar graphs* which have classical parameters

$$(D, q, 0, q^e), e \in \left\{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2\right\}.$$

⇒ Dual polar graphs support a uniform structure (C. Worawannotai, 2013)

#### Case $\alpha = 0$

Examples are *dual polar graphs* which have classical parameters

$$(D, q, 0, q^e), e \in \left\{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2\right\}.$$

⇒ Dual polar graphs support a uniform structure (C. Worawannotai, 2013)

#### Remark

- For our  $\Gamma$  (1-thin w.r.t. x),  $\alpha = 0 \iff \Delta = \Delta(x)$  is a disjoint union of cliques, where  $r = a_1 = \beta - 1$  and s = -1.

$$-\alpha = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}_i = \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{c}_i \ (1 \le i \le D - 1)$$

# Definition

 $\textit{K}_{1,1,2}\text{:}$  complete multipartite graph with three parts of order 1, 1, and 2, respectively

A distance-regular graph G is a *near polygon* if  $a_i = a_1c_i$ ( $1 \le i \le D-1$ ), and G does not contain  $K_{1,1,2}$  as an induced subgraph.

## Definition

 $K_{1,1,2}$ : complete multipartite graph with three parts of order 1, 1, and 2, respectively

A distance-regular graph G is a *near polygon* if  $a_i = a_1c_i$ ( $1 \le i \le D - 1$ ), and G does not contain  $K_{1,1,2}$  as an induced subgraph.

# Theorem (A. Brouwer et al., 1989)

Let G be a distance-regular graph with classical parameters  $(D, q, 0, \beta)$ ,  $D \ge 3$ . If G is a near polygon, then G is a Hamming graph (q = 1) or a dual polar graph.

Theorem (B. Fernández, R. Maleki, Š. Miklavič, G.M.) Let  $\Gamma$  be a non-bipartite distance-regular graph with classical parameters  $D \ge 3$ ,  $q \ge 2$ ,  $\alpha = 0$ . Assume that  $\Gamma$  is 1-thin w.r.t. every vertex. Then,  $\Gamma$  is a dual polar graph.

# Proof

It follows from previous Remark and Theorem (A. Brouwer et al.,1989).

Thank you for your attention!