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## \#_ The EKR Theorem

## \$_ The Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem

## Theorem (Erdós-Ko-Rado, 1961)

For any two positive integers such that $n \geqslant 2 k+1$, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a collection of $k$-subsets of $[n]:=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $|A \cap B| \geqslant 1$, for all $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$, then

$$
|\mathcal{F}| \leqslant\binom{ n-1}{k-1}
$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{F}$ is of the form

$$
\mathcal{F}_{a}=\{A \subset[n]:|A|=k \text { and } a \in A\}
$$

for some $a \in[n]$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\#_{-} \quad \text { EKR for transitive } \\
\text { groups }
\end{gathered}
$$
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Let $G \leqslant \operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$ be a finite transitive group.
(*) A set $\mathcal{F} \subset G$ is intersecting if for any $\sigma, \pi \in \mathcal{F}$, there exist $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $\omega^{\sigma}=\omega^{\pi}$.
» What are the obvious intersecting sets?
» The intersection density of the transitive group $G \leqslant \operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$ is the rational number

$$
\rho(G):=\max \left\{\frac{|\mathcal{F}|}{\left|G_{\omega}\right|}: \mathcal{F} \subseteq G \text { is intersecting }\right\}
$$

$\gg \rho(G) \geqslant 1$.
$» G$ has the EKR property if $\rho(G)=1$.
$» G$ has the strict EKR property if whenever $\mathcal{F} \subset G$ is an intersecting set such that $\rho(G)=\frac{|\mathcal{F}|}{\left|G_{\omega}\right|}$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is a coset of a stabilizer of a point.
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## \$_ Examples

## Results

» $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ has the EKR and strict EKR property (Deza-Frankl, Larose-Malvenuto, Cameron-Ku, Godsil-Meagher).
$» \mathrm{PSL}_{2}(q)$ acting on the projective line $\mathrm{PG}_{1}(q)$ has the EKR and strict EKR property (Meagher-Spiga).
» $G L_{n}(q)$ acting on $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ has the EKR property, but not the strict EKR property.

Theorem (Meagher-Spiga-Tiep, 2015)
If $G$ is a finite 2 -transitive group, then $G$ has the EKR property.

## \$_ A non-example

* Consider $H=\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}3 & 4\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}3 & 4\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}5 & 6\end{array}\right)\right\rangle$ and $g=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 3 & 5\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 4\end{array}\right)$.
* The permutation $g$ is in the normalizer of $H$ in $\operatorname{Sym}(6)$ and $G=\langle H, g\rangle \cong \operatorname{Alt}(4)$ is transitive.
* $H$ is intersecting and $\rho(G) \geqslant \frac{4}{2}$.


## \#_ Derangement graphs

## \$_ Derangement graph

If $G \leqslant \operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$ is transitive and $\operatorname{Der}(G)$ is the set of all derangements of $G$, then the derangement graph $\Gamma_{G}$ of $G$ is the Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}(G, \operatorname{Der}(G))$. That is, $\Gamma_{G}$ is the graph with
» vertex set $G$,
$» g \sim_{\Gamma_{G}} h \Leftrightarrow h g^{-1} \in \operatorname{Der}(G)$.
\$_ Example of a derangement graph


Figure: Derangement graph for $\operatorname{Alt}(4) \leqslant \operatorname{Sym}(6)$
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* Clique-coclique bound,
* Hoffman's ratio bound,
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## \$_ The clique-coclique bound

Theorem (Clique-coclique)
Let $X=(V, E)$ be a vertex-transitive graph. Then, $\alpha(X) \omega(X) \leqslant|V(X)|$.
$» \omega\left(\Gamma_{G}\right) \leqslant|\Omega|$. If equality holds, then $G$ has the EKR property.
» If $S$ is a sharply transitive set of $G$, then $G$ has the EKR property.
$»$ If $|\Omega|$ is a prime number, then $\rho(G)=1$.
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For any $n \geqslant 2$, define

$$
\mathcal{I}_{n}:=\{\rho(G) \mid G \text { is transitive of degree } n\} .
$$

Conjecture (Meagher-R-Spiga, 2021)
(I) If $p$ is a prime number and $k \geqslant 1$, then $\mathcal{I}_{p^{k}}=\{1\}$.
(II) If $p$ is an odd prime, then $\mathcal{I}_{2 p} \subset[1,2] \cap \mathbb{Q}$.
(III) If $p>q$ are two distinct odd primes, then $\mathcal{I}_{p q}=\{1\}$.
» (I) was proved independently by Li et al., and Marušič et al., in 2021.
》(II) by R., 2021. Marušič et al. showed that $\mathcal{I}_{2 p}=\{1,2\}$.
\$_ Conjecture (III)
» Let $p>q$ be two odd primes.
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## \$_ Conjecture (III)

》 Let $p>q$ be two odd primes.
» Phrase as a Question vs Conjecture?
» TransitiveGroup $(33,18)$ has intersection density equal to 3. Theorem (Marušič et. al (2021))
There are '‘infinitely’ many imprimitive groups of degree pq that have a unique complete block system with blocks of size $q$ and intersection density equal to $q$.

## Question

What about other transitive groups of degree $p q$ ?

## \#_ The primitive case

## \$_ Reduction

## Lemma (No Homomorphism Lemma)

Let $X$ and $Y$ be two graphs, $Y$ is vertex transitive. If $\phi: V(X) \rightarrow V(Y)$ is a homomorphism of graphs, then
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## \$_ Reduction
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Let $X$ and $Y$ be two graphs, $Y$ is vertex transitive. If $\phi: V(X) \rightarrow V(Y)$ is a homomorphism of graphs, then

$$
\frac{\alpha(Y)}{|V(Y)|} \leqslant \frac{\alpha(X)}{|V(X)|}
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Corollary
If $H \leqslant G$ is transitive, then $\rho(G) \leqslant \rho(H)$.
A primitive group of is either
»2-transitive group (Meagher-Spiga-Tiep)
» simply primitive.

## \$_ Primitive case

» The socle $\operatorname{Soc}(G)$ of a group $G$ is the subgroup generated by the minimal normal subgroups.
$» \operatorname{Soc}(G) \approx G$.
$»$ If $G \leqslant \operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$ is primitive, then a normal subgroup is transitive or in the kernel of the action.
» If $G$ is primitive, then $\operatorname{Soc}(G)$ is a transitive subgroup of G. In particular,

$$
\rho(G) \leqslant \rho(\operatorname{Soc}(G))
$$

## \$_ Primitive case

| Line | Socle | $(p, q)$ | action | Intersection density |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Alt(7) | $(7,5)$ | triples | 1 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{PSL}_{4}$ (2) | $(7,5)$ | 2-spaces | 1 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{PSL}_{5}$ (2) | $(31,5)$ | 2-spaces | 1 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(23)$ | $(23,11)$ | cosets of Sym(4) | 1 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(11)$ | $(11,5)$ | cosets of Alt(4) | 1 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{M}_{11}$ | $(11,5)$ |  | 1 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{M}_{22}$ | $(11,7)$ |  | 1 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{M}_{23}$ | $(23,11)$ |  | 1 |
| 9 | Alt (p) | (p, $\frac{p-1}{2}$ ) | pairs | 1 |
| 10 | Alt $(p+1)$ | (p, $\frac{p+1}{2}$ ) | pairs | 1 |
| 11 | $\operatorname{PSp}(4, k)$ | $\left(k^{2}+1, k+1\right)$ | 1-spaces | 1 |
| 12 | $P \Omega_{2 d}^{\varepsilon}$ (2) | $\left(2^{d}-\varepsilon, 2^{d-1}+\varepsilon\right)$ | singular <br> 1-spaces | ??? |
| 13 | $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(p)$ | $\left(p, \frac{p+1}{2}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { cosets of } D_{p-1} \\ p \geqslant 13 \text { and } p \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \end{gathered}$ | ??? |
| 14 | $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(p)$ | $\left(p, \frac{p-1}{2}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { cosets of } D_{p+1} \\ p \geqslant 13 \text { and } p \equiv 3(\bmod 4) \end{gathered}$ | 1 |
| 15 | $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{q^{2}+1}{2}, q\right)$ | cosets of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(q)$ | ??? |
| 16 | $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(p)$ | $(19,3),(29,7),(59,29)$ | cosets of Alt(5) | 1 |
| 17 | $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(13)$ | $(13,7)$ | cosets of Alt(4) | 1 |
| 18 | $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}$ (61) | $(61,31)$ | cosets of Alt(5) | ??? |

Table: Socle of simply primitive groups of degree pq.
» Weighted ratio bound.
» Clique-coclique bound.
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If $G \leqslant \operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$ admits a block of size $p$, then $\rho(G)=1$.
Lemma (R., 2021)
If $G \leqslant \operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$ admits more than one complete block system, then $\rho(G)=1$.

## Question

What about the imprimitive groups with a unique block system? Complete [ $q^{D}$ ]-block system?
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## Theorem (Behajaina, Maleki, R., 2022)

Let $G \leqslant \operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$ be an imprimitive group admitting a $\left[q^{p}\right]$-block system and $\operatorname{ker}(G \rightarrow \bar{G}) \neq 1$. Then, $\rho(G)=q$ if and only if there exists a cyclic $[p, k]_{q}$ code $C$ with the property that

$$
w(c)<p
$$

for all $c \in C$.
Question
Does $\mathcal{I}_{p q}$ contain proper rational number?
Theorem (Behajaina, Maleki, R., 2022)
If $p=\frac{q^{k}-1}{q-1}$ is a prime such that $k<q<p$, then there exists a transitive group of degree $p q$ such that $\rho(G)=\frac{q}{k}$.
» We used certain permutation automorphism in $\operatorname{PAut}(C)$.
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$\dagger$ If $\bar{G}$ is 2-transitive, then is $\rho(G)=1$ ?


## \$_ What's next for imprimitive groups?

» Let $\bar{G}$ be the induced action of $G$ on its unique complete block system.
» If $\operatorname{ker}(G \rightarrow \bar{G})=1$, then $G$ is quasiprimitive and $G \cong \bar{G}$. Is it true that $\rho(G)=1$ ?
$\mathrm{PSL}_{d}(r) \leqslant G$ admitting an action of degree $p$ and $p q$.
» If $\operatorname{ker}(G \rightarrow \bar{G}) \neq 1$, then $G$ is genuinely imprimitive.

* If $N \leqslant \operatorname{ker}(G \rightarrow \bar{G})$ is a non-abelian minimal normal subgroup of $G$, then $\rho(G)=1$.
* If $N \leqslant \operatorname{ker}(G \rightarrow \bar{G})$ is a minimal normal subgroup which is an elementary abelian $q$-group containing a derangement, then $\rho(G)=1$.
* Any minimal normal subgroup $N \leqslant \operatorname{ker}(G \rightarrow \bar{G})$ is a derangement-free elementary abelian $q$-group.
$\dagger$ If $\bar{G}$ is 2-transitive, then is $\rho(G)=1$ ?
$\dagger$ Is it true that if $\bar{G}<\operatorname{AGL}_{1}(p)$, then $\rho(G) \in\left\{\frac{q}{k}: k \mid(p-1)\right.$ and $\left.k<q\right\} ?$


## \$_ Open problems: primitive case

What are the intersection density of the other socles of primitive groups?
$» P \Omega_{2 d}^{\varepsilon}(2)$ acting on the singular 1 -spaces.

* $\varepsilon=+$ and $d$ is a Fermat prime.
* $\varepsilon=-$ and $d-1$ is a Mersenne prime.
$» \mathrm{PSL}_{2}(p)$ acting on 2 -subsets of $\mathrm{PG}_{1}(p)$, where $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$.
$\geqslant \mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)$ acting on cosets of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(q)$ (or sublines).
$» \mathrm{PSL}_{2}(61)$ acting on cosets of $\operatorname{Alt}(5)$.
$\Phi_{-}$


## Thank you!!

## Thank you for your attention!



Any Questions?

